

10 November 1954

MEMO FOR THE RECORD OF THE CLARK COMMITTEE

Colonel Miller and Mr. McGruder's interests today got off of yesterday's financial bent and centered more on matters of personnel and efficiency of operation. The following were their main topics of interest:

- a. Concern over personnel security. As they did yesterday, they were interested in how often an individual was re-checked throughout his career from a security standpoint.
- b. They again requested a [redacted] with an "On Board" breakdown of professional and administrative personnel for each office including indigenous employees overseas. 25X1
- c. Throughout the day, both asked a number of questions relating to competency of personnel, rotation, and morale, especially insofar as overseas employees and their families were concerned.
- d. In conjunction with [redacted] Colonel Miller's questioning followed yesterday's pattern, i.e., "Were these reports being used", "Were they worthwhile", "What do your consumers think of them". 25X1
- e. [redacted] 25X1  
both Miller and McGruder who sensed duplication of effort. Nevertheless, they wished to be informed where duplication did not exist. Colonel Miller could not justify in his own mind a collection division's attempt to analyze its own efforts and felt rather this should be the prerogative of such as OCI or ONE. He was interested in how this responsibility fell on the shoulders of [redacted] and although he agreed there was a need for such analysis, he was confused as to its resting place. 25X1
- f. The keynote of their day's questioning seemed to be: "We grant you the production is worthy, but how much of it is necessary and how much is being duplicated elsewhere".
- g. During the past two days, the question of unvouchered funds has been relatively overlooked.

25X1A [redacted]

010187

10 November 1954

MEMO FOR THE RECORD OF THE CLARK COMMITTEE

Colonel Miller and Mr. McGruder's interests today got off of yesterday's financial bent and centered more on matters of personnel and efficiency of operation. The following were their main topics of interest:

a. Concern over personnel security. As they did yesterday, they were interested in how often an individual was re-checked throughout his career from a security standpoint.

b. They again requested a [redacted] an "On Board" breakdown of professional and administrative personnel for each office including indigenous employees overseas.

25X1

c. Throughout the day, both asked a number of questions relating to competency of personnel, rotation, and morale, especially insofar as overseas employees and their families were concerned.

d. [redacted] Colonel Miller's "Were these reports being used", "Were they worthwhile", "What do your consumers think of them".

25X1

e. [redacted] both Miller and McGruder who sensed duplication of effort. Nevertheless, they wished to be informed where duplication did not exist. Colonel Miller could not justify in his own mind a collection division's attempt to analyze its own efforts and felt rather this should be the prerogative of such as OCI or ONE. He was interested in how this responsibility fell on the shoulders of [redacted] although he agreed there was a need for such analysis, he was confused as to its resting place.

25X1

f. The keynote of their day's questioning seemed to be: "We grant you the production is worthy, but how much of it is necessary and how much is being duplicated elsewhere".

25X1

g. During the past two days, the question of unvouchered funds has been relatively overlooked.

This document has been approved for release through the HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM of the Central Intelligence Agency.

Date 5/5/92 Approved For Release 2003/12/09 : CIA-RDP88-00374R000100260066-0

HRP 89-2

010187